THE INTERPRETATIO DE VISIONIBUS IN SOMNIIS BY ARNAU DE VILANOVA (BARCELONA, ARXIU DE LA CORONA D'ARAGÓ, CASA REIAL 1): ORIGIN, CIRCUMSTANCES, AND HISTORY OF THE TEXT*

Jaume Mensa i Valls

THE Interpretatio de visionibus in somniis dominorum Iacobi secundi regis Aragonum et Friderici tertii regis Siciliae, eius fratris (henceforth simply Interpretatio), written by Arnau de Vilanova slightly over two years before his death and read before the pope, set out to reform Christianity. It was, in fact, a failed attempt, but it aroused a conflict at the highest echelons and essentially determined the course of events in the later years in the life of this famous Catalan physician and theologian.¹

- * This article is the outcome of the research project entitled "Corpus Digital d'Arnau de Vilanova: Filosofia i ciència a la Corona d'Aragó (segles XIII–XIV)," MEC, FFI2014-53050-C5-2-P. One of the goals of this project is to publish a critical edition of the *Interpretatio* (*Arnaldi de Villanova Opera Theologica Omnia*). I would like to express my gratitude to the administration of the Archive of the Crown of Aragon, which authorized me to peruse this codex. I am deeply grateful to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their invaluable observations, detailed comments, and accurate revision.
- ¹ The work had not only diplomatic and political consequences but also doctrinal ones. For example, Augustinus Triumphus wrote his *Tractatus contra divinatores et sompniatores* thinking at least in part of Arnau de Vilanova; see Pierangela Giglioni, "Il *Tractatus contra divinatores et sompniatores* di Agostino d'Ancona: introduzione e edizione del testo," *Analecta Augustiniana* 48 (1985): 8–111. On Arnau's life, see the dictionary articles by Michael R. McVaugh, "Arnald of Villanova," in *Dictionary of Scientific Biography*, ed. Charles C. Gillispie, vol. 1 (New York, 1970), 289–91; and Fernando Salmón, "Arnald of Vilanova," in *Dictionary of Medical Biography*, ed. William F. Bynum and Helen Bynum, vol. 1 (Westport, Conn., and London, 2006), 126–30; on his religious ideas, see Clifford R. Backman, "The Reception of Arnau de Vilanova's Religious Ideas," in *Christendom and its Discontents: Exclusion, Persecution and Rebellion, 1000–1500*, ed. Scott L. Waugh and Peter D. Diehl (Cambridge, 1996), 112–31; on Arnau's medicine and its connection with theology, see Joseph

Frederick III of Sicily told Arnau de Vilanova about a recurring dream that was worrying him; the wise physician associated it with a similar dream which his brother James II of Aragon had had, and he interpreted them as signals from heaven that despite their qualms, these kings should spearhead an in-depth reform of Christianity. Arnau read his interpretation of the royal dreams and the consequent reform plan (that is, the *Inter*pretatio) before Pope Clement V and the cardinals in the papal court of Avignon. The work sparked an uproar, especially among the Franciscan cardinals, who wrote to James II telling him what had happened and insisting that the physician had accused him of expressing doubts about his faith. Ultimately, after requesting information from several people and asking Arnau himself for explanations, James II decided to put an end to his close collaboration and friendship with his physician and councillor. Even though Frederick of Sicily always remained loyal to Arnau, the reform plans as Arnau had articulated them could no longer be carried out.2

The *Interpretatio* was the first spiritual work by Arnau de Vilanova published in print. The Protestant theologian Matija Vlačić Ilirik, usually known by his Latin name Matthias Flacius Illyricus or Matthias Francovich, included it as an appendix with independent pagination in the second edition of his *Catalogus testium veritatis* (Strasbourg, 1562) with the title of *Colloquium Friderici regis et Arnoldi de Villanova (Catalogus*, Appendix, 1–11), along with two letters (Appendix, 11–14), one that Frederick of Sicily sent to James II, and the other the response from James II to Frederick of Sicily.³ We do not know what manuscript Matthias Flacius

Ziegler, Medicine and Religion, c. 1300. The Case of Arnau de Vilanova (Oxford, 1998); on the social, political, and religious context in Sicily, see Clifford R. Backman, "The Papacy, the Sicilian Church and King Frederick III (1302–1321)," Viator 22 (1991): 243–47, and The Decline and Fall of Medieval Sicily: Politics, Religion, and Economy in the Reign of Frederick III, 1296–1337 (Cambridge, 1995).

- ² For a presentation of this episode, see Francesco Santi, *Arnau de Vilanova*. *L'obra espiritual*, Història i societat 5 (Valencia, 1987), 138–39; and Jaume Mensa i Valls, *Arnau de Vilanova*, Episodis de la història 313 (Barcelona, 1997), 27–30.
- ³ This text of the *Interpretatio* was reproduced several times in the editions of the *Catalogus* (Lyon, 1597; Geneva, 1608; Frankfurt, 1666 and 1672) and was included in its translations into German (Frankfurt, 1573) and Dutch (Hoorn, 1633); the text was also reproduced by Johan Wolf in his *Lectiones memorabiles* (Lauingen, 1600; and Frankfurt, 1671–72).

used when preparing his edition of the work.⁴ We can, however, report that Flacius's text is different from the text of the Barcelona manuscript, Arxiu de la Corona d'Aragó, Diversos i col·leccions, Manuscrits, Casa Reial [henceforth ACA, Casa Reial] 1, fols. 1–14, at least in some notable doctrinal points. In 1879, Marcelino Menéndez y Pelayo published the text of the *Interpretatio* from the codex of the Archive of the Crown of Aragon in his monograph Arnaldo de Vilanova, médico catalán del siglo XIII. Ensayo histórico,⁵ and one year later, in 1880, he included it in the Historia (and its subsequent reissues). I have been working for some time on a critical edition of the Interpretatio, which is now fairly well advanced.7

Although bibliographical works on Arnau de Vilanova have tended to claim that the *Interpretatio* has reached us in the Barcelona codex and two other manuscripts, Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France lat. 17534 and Vienna, Österreichische Nationalbibliothek 5315,8 in reality these latter two manuscripts do not contain the Interpretatio. Therefore, the only manuscript of the Interpretatio of which we are aware today is the one in the Royal Archive of Barcelona or the Archive of the Crown of Aragon.⁹

- ⁴ After considering several possibilities, Professor Sebastià Giralt, "Arnau de Vilanova en la Reforma protestant," Faventia 31 (2009): 201-12, concluded, "no tenim cap indici d'on podia provenir el text de Flacius" (206). Nor do we know anything about the possible origin of the letters.
- ⁵ Marcelino Menéndez y Pelayo, Arnaldo de Vilanova, médico catalán del siglo XIII. Ensayo histórico (Madrid, 1879), 91-127. The transcription of this text seems to be the work of Manuel de Bofarull (21).
- ⁶ Menéndez y Pelayo, Historia (Madrid, 1880), 1:449–87 and 720–81. The Interpretatio, specifically, is on 720–38. In this work, we shall cite the National Edition, overseen by Rafael de Balbín: Historia de los heterodoxos españoles (2d ed., Madrid, 1963), 7:233-54 (*Interpretatio*), 7:254-57 and 257-60 (letters taken from Matthias Flacius's edition); and 2:247-92 ("Arnau de Vilanova"). This edition of the Interpretatio lists the textual variants in the edition by Matthias Flacius and the letters from kings James II and Frederick of Sicily.
 - ⁷ In this article we shall cite the text directly from Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1.
- ⁸ See, for example, Francesco Santi, Arnau de Vilanova, 263 and 291–92; and, in part, Jaume Mensa i Valls, Arnau de Vilanova, espiritual, Treballs de la Secció de Filosofia i Ciències Socials 17 (Barcelona, 1994), 68 and 76.
- ⁹ I owe the information on Vienna, Österreichische Nationalbibliothek 5315 to Professor Rosario A. Lo Bello. The Viennese manuscript contains on fols. 245a-252b the pseudo-Arnaldian medical work De interpretatione sompniorum (actually by

The information on the *Interpretatio* has been confused and erroneous for a long time. Marcelino Menéndez y Pelayo claimed that the *Interpretatio* (along with the *Informació espiritual*), ¹⁰ from the Barcelona manuscript, was sent by Frederick of Sicily to James II with a letter. ¹¹ Therefore, the *Interpretatio* would be a work written in Sicily in late 1308 or the first few months of 1309 and sent to James II by Frederick of Sicily himself via Arnau. ¹² According to this view, Arnau must have also explained the dreams of the kings in Avignon before the pope and cardinals in the late summer of 1309, but the text read in Avignon must not have been preserved: we only have the version that Arnau himself gave in the

Guillem d'Aragó), although it is attributed to Arnau de Vilanova in this codex. I have been able to see for myself that Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France lat. 17534 does not contain *De interpretatione*. Josep M. Pou i Martí, *Visionarios, beguinos y fraticelos catalanes (siglos XIII–XV)* (Vic, 1930), 67–68, stated, "El texto latino de la interpretación de los sueños de Fadrique, existente en el códice de la Biblioteca Nacional de París, fué publicado por Francowitz (*Flaccius Illyricus*) en su *Catalogus testium veritatis*, por Wolf, y últimamente por Menéndez y Pelayo," apparently believing that all these editions of the *Interpretatio* had been transcribed from the same Paris manuscript, and this mistake may have led later authors to list Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France lat. 17534 as a manuscript containing the work. See, for example, Joaquim Carreras i Artau, "Les obres teològiques d'Arnau de Vilanova," *Analecta sacra Tarraconensia* 12 (1936): 224, no. 32 (which lists the Barcelona manuscript and Paris lat. 17534); M. C. Díaz y Díaz, *Index Scriptorum Latinorum Medii Aevi Hispanorum* (Madrid, 1959), 342, no. 1699 (which lists the Barcelona, Paris, and Vienna manuscripts); and Francesco Santi, *Arnau de Vilanova*, 263, no. 40.

- ¹⁰ Informació espiritual al rei Frederic de Sicília, ed. Miquel Batllori, Arnau de Vilanova: Obres catalanes, vol. 1, Els nostres clàssics A 53–54 (Barcelona, 1947), 223–43.
- 11 Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 2:279–80: "A esta carta acompañaban, en efecto, dos escritos, que pueden ver mis lectores en el Apéndice. Titúlase el uno *Interpretatio* facta per magistrum Arnaldum de Villanova de visionibus in somniis Jacobi Secundi, regis Aragonum, et Friderici Tertii, Regis Siciliae, ejus fratris, y el segundo, *Letra* tramesa per lo Rey Frederich de Sicilia al Rey En Jaume Sigon son frare." He himself published the letter in *Historia* 7:307–8 (Josep Alanyà, "Diplomatari de mestre Arnau de Vilanova. Avantprojecte. Regest de documents," *Arxiu de textos catalans* antics 30 [2011–13]: 128, no. 150). As we shall see, however, Frederick actually did not send the *Interpretatio* and *Informació espiritual* with this letter but probably sent an early version of the *Informació espiritual* (n. 49 below).
- Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 2:280, initially dates the interpretation of dreams from 1304.

Raonament d'Avinyó, 13 which he presented before James II in Almeria in January 1310 (during the siege).

In the Acta Aragonensia, Heinrich Finke published the letter from James II to Frederick of Sicily (4 October 1310) which truly accompanied the Interpretatio and the Raonament d'Avinyó, along with Frederick's response (10 January 1311) acknowledging receipt. He recognized that these two works were sent by James II to Frederick of Sicily and not vice versa.¹⁴ The *Interpretatio* would then be the Latin text read before the pope. 15 The Arnau experts in the next generation tended to interpret the evidence in a manner similar to the manner in which Menéndez v Pelayo had rather than taking advantage of these brief yet accurate observations by Finke; 16 however, we believe that these are the only ones that match the historical facts and the only ones that, when properly contextualized with the other information provided by the documentation and the *Interpretatio* itself, allow us to reconstruct its historical process and ascertain what kind of work the Interpretatio is.

- 13 Raonament d'Avinyó, ed. Miquel Batllori, Arnau de Vilanova: Obres catalanes 1:167–21. The Raonament d'Avinyó is the explanation in Catalan given by Arnau de Vilanova to king James II in Almeria in mid-January 1310 about his interpretation of the dreams in the consistory at Avignon (i.e., the Interpretatio).
- ¹⁴ Heinrich Finke, Acta Aragonensia. Quellen zur deutschen, italienischen, französischen, spanischen, zur Kirchen- und Kulturgeschichte aus der diplomatischen Korrespondenz Jaymes II. (1291–1327), vol. 2 (Berlin and Leipzig, 1908), 895–96 and 897-98. See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 148, no. 196; and 150, no. 202.
- ¹⁵ Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:895, no. 1: "Der ersten Quatern ist die lateinische Interpretatio (Menendez I, 720 bis 738); er is im Konsistorium vorgetragen worden." This information matches what can be read in the title of the book published by Flacius, Catalogus (Strasbourg, 1562), Appendix, 11, in the sense that the Interpretatio was read and communicated in the Apostolic See. Paul Diepgen, Arnald von Villanova als Politiker und Laientheologe, Abhandlungen zur Mittleren und Neueren Geschichte 9 (Berlin, 1909), 72-86; Karl L. Hitzfeld, Studien zu den religiösen und politischen Anschauungen Friedrichs III. von Sizilien, Historische Studien 193 (Berlin, 1930), 21; and, in part, Santi, Arnau de Vilanova, 137–38 and 263–64, follow Finke.
- ¹⁶ Pou i Martí, Visionarios, 68; Batllori, "Notícia preliminar," 69–70, in the edition of the Raonament d'Avinyó (Obres catalanes 1); Joaquim Carreras i Artau, Relaciones de Arnau de Vilanova con los reyes de la casa de Aragón (Barcelona, 1955), 55; Francesco Bruni, "Un intellettuale riformatore a Corte: Arnaldo da Villanova, Federico III e gli Spirituali," in Storia della Sicilia, ed. Rosario Romeo (Naples, 1980), 4:194; and I myself, for example, have followed this interpretation with a few variations.

The objective of this article is to ascertain the origin, circumstances, and history of the text of the *Interpretatio* based on the internal information that the work itself harbours, along with contemporary documentation (letters exchanged between Arnau, the aforementioned kings, Pope Clement V, and occasionally other individuals), the description of Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1,¹⁷ and—since we cannot study the codex on which Flacius's edition was based directly—an analysis of the most important additions, omissions, or modifications in Flacius's edition (in relation to the Barcelona text). Only when we examine all four types of evidence together comprehensively and systematically (the information in *Interpretatio* itself, the letters, the codicological description of the only manuscript still remaining, and the modifications made when the work was edited in the Protestant world) will we be able to achieve our objectives.

In the first section, "Analysis of the correspondence on the Interpretatio: Reading at Avignon and first copies," we survey the information furnished by the documents on the early history of the work. Having sketched out this historical context, in the second section, "Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1," we describe the only known manuscript of the work, especially bearing in mind the information from the first section. The third section, "Genesis of the Interpretatio: Interpreting the dreams of Frederick of Sicily and James II," is a study of the events and historical circumstances that motivated the *Interpretatio* based on the information provided by the text itself. In the fourth section, "The edition of Matthias Flacius Illyricus (1562): Additions, omissions, and modifications to the text," we note that the text as published for the first time shows significant changes compared to the codex in the Archive of the Crown of Aragon, and we consider the possible reasons for these textual variants and whether they were introduced by the publisher or present in the manuscript on which the edition was based. In a concluding section we recapitulate the results of all these lines of inquiry.¹⁸

¹⁷ Even though this manuscript is one of the most important ones containing the works of Arnau de Vilanova (since the three works that it contains, one in Latin and two in Catalan, survive only in this manuscript), it has not been the subject of a codicological description. Batllori, "Notícia preliminar," 86–87, devotes a paragraph to it, which we shall discuss below.

¹⁸ For our purposes, the magnificent chronological list of Arnau's documents recently published by Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 69–170 (a solid underpinning for the future *diplomatarium*), is extremely useful. Alanyà follows the information of Mar-

ANALYSIS OF THE CORRESPONDENCE ON THE *INTERPRETATIO*: READING AT AVIGNON AND FIRST COPIES

In August, or more likely September, of 1309, Arnau read the text of the Interpretatio before Pope Clement V and the cardinals in a private consistory at Avignon.¹⁹ On 26 October, the cardinal of Porto, Giovanni Minio da Morrovalle, wrote a letter to James II to inform him that Arnau had made statements in Avignon before the pope and the college of cardinals which harmed both him and his brother, Frederick, king of Sicily. He also reported that a mutual friend, Father Romeu Ortic, would tell him

celino Menéndez y Pelayo, Josep M. Pou i Martí, Joaquim Carreras i Artau, and Miquel Batllori, and partly that of Heinrich Finke as well, which has inevitably, caused a few errors in the identification of events, documents, and works, along with a few contradictions. We shall point these out when necessary.

¹⁹ Arnau reached Avignon in mid-June (see Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 132, no. 160). We have documentary proof that Arnau stayed in the papal court of Avignon until September (see Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 133-36, nos. 161, 163, 164, 165, 168, 169). He had already left by 6 October (terminus ad quem), most likely heading to Sicily (see Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 136, no. 171). In the Raonament d'Avinyó, Arnau explains that he stayed in Avignon waiting for an audience. Since the papal court was too busy with the coronation of King Robert, Clement V could not receive Arnau in consistory before the coronation. Arnau says, "car lo negoci de l'emperador e del rey Robert los tenie occupats, dixeren que tro que aquel fos termenat no m porien donar audiència, mas que puyxes la'm darien; e així ho feren" (Obres catalanes 1:168). King Robert was crowned in Avignon on 3 August (terminus a quo). Bearing in mind that Arnau had been expected in Avignon for some time in order to appear in the consistory and outline the reform plans of James II and Frederick of Sicily to the pope and cardinals, it is reasonable to posit that once he did what he had gone there to do, he left Avignon for Sicily. In none of the documents from August that mention Arnau can we find any indication that this consistory was held. We are aware of different documents dated between 30 August and 9 September which report on several joint actions between Clement V and Arnau. On 30 August, Clement V granted Arnau the privilege of choosing his confessor and possessing a portable altar. On 8 and 9 September, Clement V, advised by Arnau, established the way to receive the doctorate in medicine at the University of Montpellier and the way to choose the chancellor (see Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 135-36, nos. 168-69). Around the same dates, through the efforts and cooperation of both of them, Arnau must have been received in consistory. In another letter addressed to James II dated 26 October 1309, Cardinal Minio da Morrovalle referred to Arnau's presentation before the pope and the cardinals with the adverb nuper (ACA, Cancelleria, Lletres reials, Jaume II, extra series 169; partially published by Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:885, no. 562. See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 137, no. 173). On Clement V, see Sophia Menache, Clement V (Cambridge, 1998).

about it.²⁰ The following day, 27 October, Romeu Ortiç wrote to the king,²¹ claiming that before the pope and cardinals of Avignon, Arnau de Vilanova, among other things, reported that King Frederick had some doubts about his faith and that his mother appeared to him several times in his dreams; Arnau also reported that James II had had similar doubts and that his father had appeared to him. Romeu Ortiç explains (the following passage is quoted here with notes indicating parallels in the *Interpretatio*):²²

Dixit enim quod dominus Rex Fredericus, frater vester, dubitaverat diu utrum tradicio evangelica de abrenunciacione temporalium propter Cristum esset ab invencione hominum vel divinitus inspirata,²³ et dum sub tali dubio eius animus fluctuaret, aparuit sibi quadam nocte in sompnis domina Regina mater vestra, velata facie,²⁴ suadens ei quod mundum contempneret et divinis obsequiis inhereret, et quo pro certo teneret et crederet quod tradicio evangelica non fuerit humanitus sed divinitus instituta, et de hoc nullatenus dubitaret. Dum autem adhuc dominus Fredericus in eodem dubio permaneret, iterato in sompnis modo consimili eidem aparuit mater vestra et consimiliter verba retulit supradicta. Tercio vero iterato eidem aparuit facie revelata et verba similiter protulit suprascripta, et tunc dominus Fredericus recognovit illam fuisse matrem suam, que sibi aparuerat et retulit supradicta.²⁵ Dixit etiam quod prefatus dominus Fredericus miserat pro magistro Arnaldo quod veniret ad eum in Ciciliam ut sibi prefate visionis misterium cercius explicaret.²⁶ Dixit etiam quod modo consimili vos fueratis in eodem dubio, utrum prefata

- ²⁰ See Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:885, no. 562; and Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 137, no. 173.
- ²¹ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 137–38, no. 174. The letter is conserved in Barcelona, ACA, Cancelleria, Lletres reials, Jaume II, extra series 171, and was published by Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:310–12.
 - ²² Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:310–11.
- ²³ Arnaldus de Villanova, *Interpretatio*, Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1, fol. 2v: "Ad hanc autem repressionem maxime impellebat nos [= Frederick] dubium quod occurrebat nobis de doctrina euangelica: utrum esset humana inuentio uel diuina traditio."
- ²⁴ Ibid., fol. 2r [Frederick]: "apparuit nobis in sompnis regina quondam domina mater nostra facie uelata."
- ²⁵ Ibid., fol. 4v [Frederick]: "apparuit nobis in sompnio mater nostra reuelata facie et uidimus splendorem uultus eius mirabilem."
- ²⁶ Ibid. [Frederick to Arnau]: "dixit nobis aperte quod mitteremus pro uobis, quia uos illuminaretis nos."

tradicio fuisset ab invencione hominum vel divinitus, ut predicitur, inspirata et quod modo consimili vidistis in sompnis dominum Regem Petrum patrem vestrum eo modo et ordine quo dominus Fredericus vidit matrem vestram qui vobis dixit eadem verba que mater vestra dixerat domino Frederico, et quod vos similiter miseratis pro dicto Arnaldo, ut vos vobis visionem predictam plenius explanaret.²⁷

In mid-January 1310, Arnau reached Almeria, the city where James II was enmeshed in a siege, to tell the king everything he had said in Avignon before the pope and the cardinals: this is the *Raonament d'Avinyó*. 28 On 25 or 26 January, Arnau left Almeria with instructions from James II to Frederick and with a letter for the pope asking for the text that he had read in Avignon.²⁹ In this letter to Clement V, James II tells the pope that he was aware of what Arnau had said in consistory (and reproduces the summary of it by Romeu Ortic practically verbatim, without naming him). Furthermore, since Arnau's explanation (given in Almeria), that is, the Raonament d'Avinyó, did not match up, he requested a "copia autentica" of the Avignon text, that is, the *Interpretatio*, so he could compare them.³⁰ On 8 June 1310, Clement V signed the bull introducing the text read by Arnau in Avignon, and he sent it to James II via Arnau himself.³¹ On 17 June, in Marseilles, Arnau wrote to James II and, among other things, explained that he was forwarding the text which the king had requested of the pope and a letter of introduction via Ramon Conesa.³² Since Ramon Conesa could not personally carry the papal writings, he asked Bernat

²⁷ Ibid., fols. 5v-6r [Arnau]: "datus est intellectus uisionis. Et ideo facio mentionem de fratre uestro, quia propter eum in simili casu fui ad hoc illuminatus."

²⁸ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 138-39, no. 176. We believe, however, that the correct date is not 25 January but 17 January. See Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:886, no. 564.

²⁹ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 139, nos. 177-78. Both letters are dated 25 January. The following day, James II lifted the siege.

³⁰ The text was published by Martí de Barcelona, "Nous documents per a la biografia d'Arnau de Vilanova," Analecta sacra Tarraconensia 11 (1935): 117-18, no. 34. It is the document Barcelona, ACA, Cancelleria, reg. 335, fol. 348v.

³¹ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 142-43, no. 185; and see the letter in Menéndez y Pelayo, Historia 7:314. The letter (bull) is conserved in the bundle Barcelona, ACA, Cancelleria, Lligall 26, no. 38.

³² See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 143-44, no. 186. The letter is published by Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:694-95, no. 437. In this letter, Arnau himself confirms that the bull by Clement V and the text by Arnau "fo tot ensems bullat" (694).

Vidal, James II's manservant, to do so, and he wrote to the king to inform him of this (27 July 1310).³³ James II confirmed receipt on 6 August.³⁴

Now James II had possession of the text read by Arnau (*Raonament d'Avinyó*) in Almeria and the one read at the court of Avignon (*Interpretatio*), and he determined that Arnau was a liar.³⁵ In consequence, on 24 September, James II wrote a letter to Frederick explaining the facts, and days later, on 4 October, he sent him a copy of both treatises. He advised him to break off relations with Arnau and apologize to the pope for the affair.³⁶ In the meantime, on 1 October 1310, James II sent a letter to Clement V reminding him of the deeds, apologizing for them, and asking his letter to be read in consistory. Arnau had committed three falsehoods: a) by claiming that James II doubted whether the gospel doctrine was human invention or divine tradition; b) by claiming that James II had had a dream in which his father appeared; c) by denying that he had made the previous two statements before the pope and cardinals in Avignon.³⁷

- ³³ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 144, no. 187. On the letter, see Finke, *Acta Aragonensia* 2:890, no. 568. Ramon Conesa referred to the letter from Clement V and the *Interpretatio* with these words: "Dominus magister A. de Villanova scripsit michi, quod presentarem illustri dominacioni vestre litteras summi pontificis bullatas bulla plumbea."
- ³⁴ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 144–45, no. 189; and see the transcription of the letter in Martí de Barcelona, "Nous documents," 121, no. 37.
 - 35 See n. 37 below.
- 36 See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 144–46, no. 192. Apparently, Alanyà's documents nos. 190 and 192 are actually the same. The letter (dated on 24 September 1310) is published by Finke, *Acta Aragonensia* 2:890–91, no. 569. On 4 October, James II sent a letter via Martí de Ruffis to his brother about what Arnau had said against the two kings, and the falsehood made at Avignon and Almeria. He also sent him a copy of *Interpretatio* and a copy of *Raonament d'Avinyó*. See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 148, no. 196; published by Finke, *Acta Aragonensia* 2:895–96, no. 571: "Ecce, frater karissime, quod mittimus vobis per Martinum de Ruffis de domo nostra presencium portitorem litteram unam nostram super facto eorum, que magister Arnaldus de Villanova coram domino papa et dominis cardinalibus in privato consistorio mendaciter et male adversus nos et vos loqutus est et duo quaterna translatum continencia duarum proposicionum factarum per dictum magistrum Arnaldum, alterius in dicto privato consistorio et alterius coram nobis et quampluribus aliis in obsidione civitatis Almarie tunc manentibus; item et translatum cuiusdam rescripti papalis directi nobis."
- ³⁷ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 146, no. 193. In the letter, published by Finke, *Acta Aragonensia* 2:891–95, no. 570, James II wrote, "olim existentibus nobis in obsidione civitatis Almarie significatum nobis fuit de Romana curia per aliquos amicos nostros, quod magister Arualdus de Villanova in quadam proposicione, quam fecit co-

James II wrote very similar, almost identical letters to Cardinals Frédol and Morrovalle.38

ram sanctitate vestra in consistorio privato, aliqua verba dixit et protulit nos et illustrem regem Fredericum karissimum fratrem nostrum nimium tangencia, videlicet quod nos et ille dubitaveramus, utrum tradicio evangelica esset ab invencione hominum vel divinitus inspirata" (892-93); after Arnau denied this and Clement V sent the text to James II, the latter continued, "Ubi dicitur dictum regem Fredericum inter aliquas causas dicto magistro sic expresisse, quod impellebat ipsum regem ad ipsas causas dubium, quod occurrebat sibi de doctrina evangelica, utrum esset humana invencio vel divina tradicio et de eodem rege Frederico sub similibus verbis alibi quasi consimile legitur in hiis scriptis. Subsequitur etiam in eisdem dictum magistrum respondentem dicto regi Frederico inter alia sic dixisse, quod vaccante sede post Benedictum vocatus per nos adivit presenciam nostram, et quod narravimus ei cogitatum et dubitaciones recte per omnia similes cogitatui et dubitacionibus dicti regis Frederici quodque insuper addidimus, quod sepe videramus in sompnio patrem nostrum in habitu mirabili tradentem nobis quatuor auri pecias, et alia plura verba prosequitur super eo, ut in dictis scriptis plenius continetur. Nos, inquam, hec videntes nimium provocati ad iram stupefacti ac vehementer stimulati fuimus audientes et videntes rem nunquam in nobis excogitatam neque per consequens dictam, cum nunquam etiam super talibus cum dicto magistro Arnaldo nec cum alio contulerimus, ut predicitur, die aliquo vite nostre. Nec etiam verum fuit, quod nos sompnium predictum fecerimus nec cum dicto magistro consequenter loquti fuerimus in aliquo super eo.... Qui etiam magister circa hoc comisit triplicem falsitatem, primo quia nos in evangeliis proposuit dubitasse, quod nunquam in cor nostrum ascendit. Secundo quia dictum sompnium nos fecisse et sibi retulisse asseruit, quod, ut prediximus, nunquam fecimus nec secum aliquid super eo contulimus. Tercio quia nobis eum, ut supra scribitur, interrogantibus, an dixisset, se dixisse, ut prescribitur, omnino negavit.... Supplicantes humiliter, ut, sicuti dicta propositio facta falso et mendaciter per dictum magistrum Arnaldum fuit lecta in consistorio, sic hec nostra littera in consistorio assimili perlegatur" (893-94).

38 See Alanyà, ibid., 146-47, no. 194; and 147, no. 195, with references to the editions. On 15 September, the general minister of the Franciscans, Gonzalo de Balboa, had written a letter to James II telling him that Cardinal Morrovalle had told him about the affair related to the Interpretatio and advising him to offer Arnau de Vilanova clemency. See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 145, no. 191; published by Heinrich Finke, Acta Aragonensia. Quellen zur deutschen, italienischen, französischen, spanischen, zur Kirchen- und Kulturgeschichte aus der diplomatischen Korrespondenz Jaymes II. (1291-1327), vol. 3 (Berlin, 1922), 691-92, no. 21. On Cardinal Morrovalle, see Lorenzo Cerquetella, Giovanni Minio da Morrovalle, Frate Minore e Cardinale (Morrovalle, 2001). See also Josep M. Pou i Martí, "Jaime II de Aragón, el cardenal Mincio de Morrovalle, O.F.M., y Arnaldo de Vilanova," Archivo Ibero-Americano 20 (1923): 110-12; Herbert Grundmann, Ausgewählte Aufsätze, Schriften der Monumenta Germaniae Historica 25/2 (Stuttgart, 1997), 2:147-50; and Rosario

The responses came immediately. In a letter dated 24 October, Clement V downplayed the importance of the affair:

Verumtamen sciat regalis sinceritas quod ad scripturam illam, per dicta propositio facta extitit coram nobis, nos, dum legebatur, cogitantes circa alia negotia graviora, quae nostris tunc cogitationibus imminebant, mentem nostram non curavimus apponendam, nec ad illa quae praelibata continebat scriptura, tunc vel postea nostrum direximus intellectum, neque illis fidem vel credulitatem aliquam diximus adhibendam.³⁹

In two letters, one dated 10 January 1311 and the other without a date, Frederick of Sicily confirmed receipt of the copy of both treatises and stated that he saw no contradictions in them.⁴⁰ The first letter is written in Latin and the second in Catalan, but their content is very similar. In the first one, Frederick said,

Et quod sint a Deo, videtur nobis dupliciter: primo quia in utraque illarum proposicionum exprimuntur audacter, vere et clare defectus et deviationes maiorum Christianitatis omnium, qui ab evangelica veritate declinare noscuntur; et quantum faciunt alios declinare, prout fragilitas nostre cognitionis potest de huiusmodi iudicare. Secundo quia, quamvis ille propositi unius sint eiusdemque materie et ad finem ordinentur eundem, tamen quia non identitate stili set in quadam diversitate convenienter pro personarum consideratione servata proponenda est veritas clericis, laycis, eruditis et rudibus quem ad modum apostolus docet et etiam nonumquam audivimus a proponentibus verbum Dei: facit ipse Deus, quod veritas sub stilo differenti convenienter exprimeretur; videlicet clericis et habentibus intellectum scientiis illustratum in Latino et laycis atque rudibus in vulgari.

A. Lo Bello, *Resistenza profetica*. *Arnaldo di Villanova e i frati minori* (Milan, 2014), 85–106. On Cardinal Berenguer Frédol, see Francesco Santi, "Bérenger Frédol (ca. 1250–1323), amico di Arnau de Vilanova," *Arxiu de textos catalans antics* 30 (2011–13): 385–412 (updated bibliography on 387 n. 5).

³⁹ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 148, no. 197; published by Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:315. The following day, 25 October, Cardinal Morrovalle also confirmed receipt of it. See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 148–49, no. 198; published by Finke, *Acta Aragonensia* 2:896–97, no. 572.

⁴⁰ See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 150–51, no. 202; and 151, no. 203; published by Finke, *Acta Aragonensia* 2:897–98, no. 573 (the first letter); and by Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:312–14 (the second letter).

In the second letter, Frederick said that he did not find anything unpleasant in Arnau works and that all of their content was true. If Arnau had said anything that was not in these writings, the procurator at the papal court would have reported to King Frederick, and that was not the case:⁴¹

no trobam, ni per nostre enteniment ni daltres, que en aquelles proposicions aja res dit a nostra infamia ... car en tot co que conta e dix de la nostra par, es ver que axi com o recita axins ne eren raonatç ab ell; ... nos li dixem que segons les obres els portamens que faien generalment toto crestians donaven a entendre que la doctrina del evangeli fos faula ... si maestre Arnau, fora dels escrits que avia vistz, avia dit al Papa en public o en privat que nos duptasen e meinscreegesem en co que pertayn a la fe del crestianisme, nos som aparellatç de proposar e de manifestar davant lo Papa que ell no diie veritat, mas no avem entes encara ni per Papa ni per Cardenal ni per nostre percurador que tenim en cort, que maestre Arnau dixes de vos ni de nos aço en nenguna manera, ans avem entes lo contrari.

The day before the date of the first letter from Frederick to James II, that is, on 9 January 1311, Arnau had sent a few lines to James II from Messina; among other things, he recommended a certain medication, expressed his loyalty, and reminded him that no one can forbid Arnau from esteeming him.⁴²

According to the documentation we have analyzed, we know of the existence of at least three copies of the Interpretatio: the exemplar in the hands of the pope (which may or may not be the same one that Arnau read in Avignon in late August or early September 1309, but which in any case

⁴¹ Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:312 and 313.

⁴² See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 150, no. 201; published by Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:701–2, no. 440: "Scripsi vobis alias, si recolitis, quod nullo modo poteritis michi auferre vel proybere, quin diligam vos, quia privilegium libere diligendi, quemcunque voluero, sic michi dedit altissimus, quod nullus inde potest me spoliare. Unde quia multa percepi de passionibus cordis et corporis vestri, consulo, quod faciatis fieri electuarium, cuius receptum hic scienter inclusi, et utamini eo, sicut in cedula continetur."

would be an authenticated copy made at the same time); the authenticated copy that the pope sent James II on 8 June 1310; and another authenticated copy that James II sent to Frederick of Sicily on 4 October 1310. Neither the exemplar in the pope's possession nor the one that James II sent to Frederick of Sicily appears to have survived. Is the exemplar in the Archive of the Crown of Aragon the one that the pope sent to James II and the model of the copy that James II sent to Frederick?

BARCELONA, ACA, CASA REIAL 1

Description

Barcelona, Arxiu de la Corona d'Aragó, Diversos i col·leccions, Manuscrits, Casa Reial 1 (olim Curiosos 6).

Binding. The codex is bound in beige parchment with covers measuring 215×160 mm. and is 30 mm. thick with three flyleaves before and after the forty-nine folios. The inside is cardboard covered in beige-tinged white paper (with no watermark). The spine is flat, and towards the top and centre it reads "1" and then "Interpre | de los | Sueños | de Jaime | 2^{do} . y | Federico | 3^{ro} . De | Sicilia." On the bottom half is a title piece made of white paper measuring 40×62 mm. printed and completed by hand: "ACA B | Biblioteca | Arm.: CODICES CASA | Est.: REAL | Num.: 1 | Camara C," and below, "Coleccio | Curios | T o 6." The quires are sewn together (seven bound together) and sewn to the covers at the top and bottom. Remains of gold can be seen in the edges.

At the end of fol. 49v we can read the following note: "Dⁿ Prospero de Bofarull Ar-|chivero &: Certifico: que en el año de la | fecha mandé (*canc*. formar) enquadernar los | tres quadernos de que se compone (*corr*. componia) este tomo | que aplique à la coleccion curiosa. Barcelo-|lona y Agosto 20 de 1817. Prospero de Bofarull." On flyleaf IIIr, a contemporary hand wrote "Tres Quadernos tocantes á la interpretacion | hecha por el Maestro Arnaldo de Vilanova de | las visiones en sueños de los Señores Reies Don | Jaime 2.º de Aragon, y Dⁿ Frederico 3.º de Sicilia | su hermano: La carta de este á otro de D. Jaime | Y el razonam^{to} hecho, por el mencionado Vilanova, | (en Avinyon, en presencia del Papa, y Cardenales) | de las expresadas visiones." A twentieth-century hand has added a summary (underneath) in pencil: "1. I–XIV | 2. XV–XXVI | 3. XXIX–XLIX."

At the beginning of the title of each work, "1," "2," and "3" were written in the interlinear spaces. At the top of the page, near the inner margin, there seems to have been a signature "A7 /·" (?) and, towards the centre, "t."

The binding dates from around 1817. When it was bound, the codex was restored and reinforced with paper, as it had rotted and had moisture stains. Paper was also used to join sheets or to create a margin for binding. The composition of the quires, as it is today, is the outcome of this binding. The edges were trimmed.

Body of the volume. Composite codex; paper; iii + 49 + iii folios measuring 210×155 mm. It is impossible to ascertain the initial composition of the quires, but after the new binding the codex is made up of eight quires without signatures:

- 1) three bifolia (fols. 1–6);
- 2) three bifolia (fols. 7–12), followed by a singleton (fol. 13);
- 3) two bifolia (fols. 14–17), followed by a singleton (fol. 18);
- 4) three bifolia (fols. 19–24);
- 5) three bifolia (fols. 25–30), followed by a singleton (fol. 31);
- 6) two bifolia (fols. 32–35);
- 7) a singleton (fol. 36), followed by three bifolia (fol. 37–42);
- 8) three bifolia (fols. 43–48), followed by a singleton (fol. 49).

The foliation with Roman numerals at the upper right corner of the recto seems to date from the same time as the note on fol. 49v (except on fol. 34, which is in Arabic numerals written in pencil at later date, most likely by the same person who devised the summary of the flyleaf IIIr). The codex is made up of three units: fols. 1r-14v (unit I), fols. 15r-26v (unit II), and fols. 29r–49v (unit III). The paper in unit I is darker. We are unable to detect any watermarks in the entire codex. There are no ruled lines in any of the units.

Content:

1. Fols. 1r–14r: Interpretatio facta per magistrum Arnaldum de villa noua de visionibus in somniis dominorum Jacobi s(ecun)di Reg(is A)ragonum et *Ffriderici tercii Regis Sicilie, eius fratris.*

[inc.] "Ab adolescencia nostra quidam cogitatus frequenter pulsauit cor nostrum." [expl.] "Rex autem hiis auditis traxit se in solitudinem et scripsit predictam in suo uulgari et ecce qualiter per literam suam alloquitur fratrem suum."

Arnaldus de Villanova, Interpretatio de visionibus in somniis dominorum Iacobi secundi regis Aragonum et Friderici tercii regis Siciliae, eius fratris. 43

Hauréau LXXVIII; Glorieux ct; Díaz 1699; Carreras 32; Santi 40.

Editions: Flacius, *Catalogus* (Strasbourg, 1562), Appendix, 1–11; (Lyon, 1597), 742–55; (Geneva, 1608), 1733–46; (Frankfurt, 1666 and 1672), 358–72; translated into German (Frankfurt, 1573), and into Dutch (Hoorn, 1633), 347–56; Johan Wolf, *Lectionum memorabilium et reconditarum centenarii XVI* (Lauingen, 1600), 1:564–75; (Frankfurt, 1671), 565–73; Menéndez y Pelayo, *Arnaldo de Vilanova*, 91–127, and *Historia de los heterodos españoles* 7:232–54. Excerpts: Pou, *Visionarios*, 68–84.

2. Fols. 15r–26v: Letra tramesa per lo Rey Frederich de Sicilia al Rey en Jaume Segon, son frare.

[inc.] " $\langle S \rangle$ eynor uos sots tengut de fer algunes coses pròpriament en quant sots rey." [expl.] "ab lo zel conexerets-o tot et porgar-o ets."

Arnaldus de Villanova, *Informació espiritual al rei Frederic de Sicília*. Carreras 34, Santi 42.

Editions: Menéndez y Pelayo, *Arnaldo de Vilanova*, 128–49, and *Historia de los heterodos españoles* 7:270–80; Arnau de Vilanova, *Obres catalanes* 1:223–43; P. Sainz Rodríguez, *Antología de la literatura espiritual española*, vol. 1, *Edad Media*, Espirituales españoles 28 (Madrid, 1980), 423–34. French translation: Jean Canteins, *Arnau de Villeneuve*, vol. 1, *Un "spirituel" soupçonné d'hérésie (avec traduction d'écrits catalans)* (Paris,

⁴³ On the line after the title of each work, we indicate the references of the work in question in the catalogues below:

Hauréau = Barthélemy Hauréau, "Arnauld de Villeneuve, médecin et chimiste," in *Histoire Littéraire de la France*, vol. 28 (Paris, 1881), 26–126.

Glorieux = Palémon Glorieux, *Repertoire des maîtres en théologie de Paris au XIII*^e siècle, Études de Philosophie Médiévale 17 (Paris, 1933), 418–39.

Carreras = Joaquim Carreras i Artau, "Les obres teològiques d'Arnau de Vilanova," *Analecta sacra Tarraconensia* 12 (1936): 217–31.

Díaz = M. C. Díaz y Díaz, *Index Scriptorum Latinorum Medii Aevi Hispanorum* (Madrid, 1959), 328–44, nos. 1607–1710.

Santi = Francesco Santi, "Gli *Scripta spiritualia* di Arnau de Vilanova," *Studi Medievali* 26 (1985): 977–1014; and in Santi, *Arnau de Vilanova*, 250–77.

- 2015), 167–81. German translation: Arnau de Vilanova, Über den Antichrist und die Reform der Christenheit, ed. Alexander Fidora, Katalanische Literatur des Mittelalters 8 (Berlin, 2015), 81–93.
- 3. Fols. 29r-49v: Rahonament fet per maestre Arnau de Vilanova en Avynyó denant lo papa e cardenals de les visions del Reys Jaume d'Aragó et Ffrederich Rey de Sicília, son frare.

[inc.] "Quant fuy en Aviyon en casa del papa, ell e e·lls cardenals souén me demanaren." [expl.] "car ell los dava protecció et prouissió en necessaris de vida."

Arnaldus de Villanova, Raonament d'Avinyó.

Hauréau CXXI, Glorieux cu, Carreras 33, Santi 41.

Editions: Menéndez y Pelayo, Arnaldo de Vilanova, 150-201, and Historia de los heterodos españoles 7:280-306; Lluís Faraudo de Saint-Germain, ed., "Rahonament fet per mestre Arnau de Vilanova en Avinyó," Recull de textes catalans antichs, vol. 9 (Barcelona, 1909); Arnau de Vilanova, Obres catalanes 1:167-21. Excerpts: Pou, Visionarios, 68-84. Portuguese translation: Nachman Falbel, Arnaldo de Vilanova, sua doutrina reformista e sua concepção escatológica (Hab. Diss., Univ. São Paulo, 1977), 336–74 (Catalan text), 375–413 (Portuguese translation). French translation: Canteins, Arnau de Villeneuve 1:130-66. German translation: Arnau de Vilanova, Über den Antichrist und die Reform der Christenheit, 53–80.

Layout and script. The text box in unit I measures 170×115 mm. (page layout: vertical, from top to bottom, 12 + 170 + 28 mm.; horizontal, from inside to outside, 15 + 115 + 25 mm.) with 22–24 long lines and no justification lines; the unit of ruling is 7 mm. The text box in unit II measures 170×120 (page layout: vertical, 15 + 160 + 35 mm.; horizontal, 15 + 110+ 30 mm.) with 18–21 long lines and justification lines marked by pen; the unit of ruling is 8 mm. The text box in unit III measures 160×120 (page layout: vertical, 18 + 160 + 32; horizontal, 12 + 120 + 18) with $18-23 \log 1$ lines and justification lines slightly marked with hard point ruling, the unit of ruling is 8 mm.

Each work is written by a different hand from the early fourteenth century, in a Gothic chancery script used for books; the script in units II and III is more cursive. The ink is very dark in unit I, lighter in unit II and even lighter in unit III.

On fol. 1r we can read several notes: "Verum est quod de mandato domini Regis de isto (canc. translato) quaterno [fuit] | missum translatum domino Regi Frederico et fuit probatum" and "Originale" in the same early fourteenth-century hand;⁴⁴ an obliterated note in which we can read the name "Joseph Llaris," archivist (seventeenth-eighteenth century); and a third hand—from the fourteenth century⁴⁵—has written "Primus quaternus" and "Interpretacio facta per magistrum Arnaldum de Villa nova | de visionibus in somniis dominorum Jacobis [secundi] Regis Aragonum | et Ffrederici tercii Regis Sicilie eius fratris." Between these two notes there seem to be the initials "Fi" (?).

On fol. 15r, the same hand that wrote the title of the work and the book number on fol. 1r has noted "Secundus quaternus" and "Letra tramesa per lo Rey Ffrederich de Sicilia al Rey | en Jaume Segon son frare"; and on the outer margin, Pere Miquel Carbonell (Royal Archivist, 1476–1517) has written "Sunt reponende in arca parua de \cdot C· sita | coram Armario Tarraconis" on two lines from top to bottom.⁴⁶

On fol. 29r, the same hand has written notes similar to the one on fol. 1r: "de isto quaterno ad mandatum domini Regis fuit missum | translatum domino Regi Ffrederico et fuit probatum" and "Originale." Before this latter word we can read "Tercius quaternus," and on the bottom "Rahonament fet per maestre Arnau de Vilanova en | Avinyo denant lo papa e cardenals deles visions | del reys Jaume darago et Ffrederich Rey de Sicilia | son frare" in the hand that wrote the similar notes on fols. 1r and 15r.

The decorative elements are limited to initial letters, which are minimally decorated with the same colour ink (fols. 8r, 13v, 14r [twice], 30r), along with section marks (fols. 2r [two], 4v [two], 9r [two], 11r, 13v [two], 14r [two], 16 [two], 17v, 18v, 19r [two], 20r, 20v [four], 21r [two], 21v [two], 22r [three], 22v [five], 23r [three], 23v, 24r, 24v [three], 25v [two], 26r) and two *maniculae* (fols. 32r and 48v). On fol. 16r, the copyist left a

⁴⁴ This is the script used in the registers of James II during those years: see Barcelona, ACA, Cancelleria, Reg. 345. Batllori, "Notícia preliminar," 86, who did not note the first notice on this folio ("Verum est quod de mandato domini Regis de isto quaterno [fuit] missum translatum domino Regi Frederico et fuit probatum"), interprets the word "originale" in the sense of "autograph" of Arnau de Vilanova, but there is no doubt that the official in the chancery was using this word to distinguish the chancery's original copy from the copy sent to Frederick.

⁴⁵ It is certainly not the typical script found in the registers of the chancery from that period; see, for example, Barcelona, ACA, Cancelleria, Reg. 207, from 1310–11.

⁴⁶ The handwriting of Pere Miquel Carbonell is also identified in other codices. See, for example, Barcelona, ACA, Diversos i col·leccions, Manuscrits, Casa Reial 9, inside the cover.

blank space for the initial (S), but it was neither drawn nor illuminated. Several biblical quotations are underlined (fols. 7v, 11v [twice], 22v).

Textual corrections and additions: fols. 2v (two), 5r (two), 5v, 6r (three), 9v, 10r, 10v, 12v, 13r (by the same hand that wrote the text, with the exception of the one on fol. 9v, which is by a different hand but in the same period); fols. 17v, 18v, 19v, 20v, 22v (by the same hand that wrote the text); fols. 37r, 43v, 45r, 45r, 48v, 49r (by a different hand in the same period).

Blank pages: flyleaves Ir-v, IIr-v, IIIv, fols. 1v, 14v, 15v, 27r-v, 28r-v, and flyleaves IVr-v, Vr-v, VIr-v.

On the outer margin of fol. 10v next to line 15, we can see a red stain $(wax?) (3 \times 2 mm.).$

The state of conservation of this codex is not good: there are many moisture stains and it has been attacked by book-eating bugs. When it was bound, it was also restored.

The manuscript is not dated, and what we see today is the outcome of a long history. We believe, however, that it is possible to reconstruct the main stages in its history and ascertain the dates when the works it contains were copied.

1) Date of the each unit. The text of the Interpretatio (unit I) and the Raonament d'Avinyó (unit III) in this codex are the originals (fols. 1r and 29r) of the copy of these works that James II sent to Frederick of Sicily (fols. 1r and 29r) on 4 October 1310.⁴⁷ This is the terminus ad quem. In the case of the *Interpretatio*, the terminus a quo is 8 June 1310, the date of

⁴⁷ See Barcelona, ACA, Cancelleria, Reg. 336, fol. 22, published by Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:890-91, no. 569: "Vos autem, karissime frater, visis et diligenter consideratis scriptis dicte proposicionis coram nobis in obsidione iam dicta per prefatum magistrum, ut predicitur, facte ac littera rescriptiva papali, de qua supradiximus, ac scriptis nobis in ea missis interclusis, quarum omnium translatum vobis mittimus sub sigilli nostri munimine interclusum, tam scribendo domino pape et aliquibus dominis cardinalibus quam alias expedietis super huiusmodi negocio, quod discrecioni regie videbitur expedire."

Clement V's bull which accompanied the text of the *Interpretatio*. 48 The following factors lead us to believe that the copy sent by the pope is the one now preserved in this manuscript. The "interclusa" copy issued by the pope is not preserved along with the bull; in this codex, this work originally had no title (the one on fol. 1r is later) because it and the bull were a single unit. The handwriting and the paper are clearly different from what we find in unit III, Raonament d'Avinyó. In the case of the Raonament d'Avinyó, the terminus a quo is mid-January 1310, the date at which the text was read in Almeria. The text in unit II, the Informació espiritual, which is presented as Letra tramesa per lo Rey Frederich de Sicilia al Rey en Jaume Segon, son frare (Letter sent by King Frederick of Sicily to James the Second, his brother) on fol. 15r is the text sent by Frederick to James II in an undated letter (January of 1311?) in response to the letter that King James II had sent him on 4 October 1310.⁴⁹ The copies of the three works in Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1 can be confidently dated from between June 1310 and the first few weeks of 1311.⁵⁰

⁴⁸ See Barcelona, ACA, Cancelleria, Lligall 26, no. 38, published by Menéndez, *Historia* 7:314: "Ex tuarum accepimus serie litterarum, quod per aliquos tibi significatum extiterat, quod dilectus filius magister Arnaldus de Villanova quaedam te et carissimum in Christo filium nostrum Fredericum, Regem Trinacriae illustrem germanum tuum tangentia proposuerat coram nobis, super quibus per Nos certificari humiliter supplicasti. Verum ut de hujusmodi propositis et Nobis in scripto oblatis per eundem magistrum Arnaldum in consistorio privato notitiam tua habeat celsitudo, ecce quod scripta et proposita ipsa quae tunc venerabili fratri nostro Berengario, Tusculanensi Episcopo, tunc tituli Sanctorum Nerei et Achillei presbytero Cardinali, per eundem magistrum Arnaldum tradi mandavimus, tibi mittimus praesentibus interclusa."

⁴⁹ See Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:314: "E per tal que vos siatç pus cert del seu çel e de ço que diu de desiga de vos e de nos, trametem vos sotç nostre lo translat del enformament quens a donat ara en aquesta venguda, lo qual enformament nos per la gracia de Deu avem començat a metre en obra." Frederick of Sicily had previously sent him an early version of the *Informació espiritual* (no longer preserved), with the letter "Excellentissimo domino J. Dei gratia illustri Regi Aragonum" (Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 128, no. 150). See Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:308: "Jos tramet per escrit alcuns proçeses que yo e feitc per donar a entendre mon enteniment per exempli dobra, e tramet vos la informaçio que maestre A. a feita sobre lo dit enteniment." On this early version of the *Informació espiritual*, see Karl Leopold Hitzfeld, *Studien zu den religiösen und politischen Anschauungen Friedrichs III. von Sizilien*, Historische Studien 193 (Berlin, 1930), 33–35.

⁵⁰ Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1 should be regarded as one of the earliest Arnaldian manuscripts, along with Vatican City, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana Vat. lat.

- 2) Compilation of the three units. The three units were bound together in the fourteenth century. The compiler wrote the titles of the texts (when separating them from the documents that accompanied them or from their natural place, an identifying reference was needed) and numbered the "books." We should note that in the case of the Informació espiritual, the compiler added a title which referred to the letter (from Frederick) that accompanied it, but not to the work (by Arnau) itself: Letra tramesa per lo Rey Frederich de Sicilia.
- 3) Binding. On 20 August 1817, Pròsper de Bofarull had the codex bound (see the note on fol. 49v). It was also restored at that time, the pages numbered and flyleaves added, with a brief description of the content of the codices (fol. IIIr). The current material composition of the body of the volume is the result of this binding.

The *Interpretatio* from Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1 is unquestionably the exemplar of this work that James II sent to Frederick of Sicily on 4 October 1310 and most likely the same copy that Clement V sent to James II on 8 June 1310.

GENESIS OF THE INTERPRETATIO: INTERPRETING THE DREAMS OF FREDERICK OF SICILY AND JAMES II

From the preceding sections, we know that the *Interpretatio* is the text read by Arnau in Avignon in late summer 1309, and we know its history immediately afterward. To this point the object of study has been the text of the Interpretatio and its history immediately after it was written. In this section, however, we shall go backwards in time and consider whether it is possible to obtain any information on the origin and circumstances of the historical deeds described in the Interpretatio, that is, on Arnau's interpretation of dreams, especially the dreams of Frederick and to some extent those of James.

3824; Borgh. 205; Vat. lat. 9968; and Rome, Biblioteca Corsiniana 40.E.3. Regarding the Arnaldian manuscripts, see Mensa i Valls, Arnau de Vilanova, espiritual, 67-76; and Josep Perarnau i Espelt, "Noves dades sobre manuscrits 'espirituals' d'Arnau de Vilanova," Arxiu de textos catalans antics 27 (2008): 351–424.

From the text of the *Interpretatio* itself we can deduce that the conversation between Frederick and Arnau on the king of Sicily's dream took place before the month of June 1309. Indeed, some information appears in the text which must be borne in mind:

- 1) In the *Interpretatio*, we find a clear distinction between "illa estate" (when Frederick was in Montalbano and a rumour reached him that Arnau had died) and "nunc" (when Arnau de Vilanova had reached the island).⁵¹ Quite a bit of time had elapsed between these two points because in the meantime the king had had a ship built and commissioned someone named "G" to go wherever Arnau might be.⁵² If the introduction to the edition by Flacius Illyricus is reliable, the act of interpreting the dreams took place in Catania.⁵³
- 2) As Arnau reports at the end of the *Interpretatio*, he had suggested that Frederick write, in Catalan, his thoughts and his desire to stand by the Gospel and to ask the king of Aragon for what he thinks is appropriate.⁵⁴
- ⁵¹ *Interpretatio*, fol. 4v: "Contingit autem quod illa estate, qua misimus pro uobis, eramus in Monte Albano, et uenit rumor ad nos de Messana quod uos decesseratis, et contristauit nos rumor ille.... Nunc autem postquam fuistis in insula et G·uenit ad nos de Messana notificans quod uos aderatis, apparuit nobis...."
- 52 Ibid.: "dum nos cogitaremus fuisse illusionem quicquid uideramus, apparuit nobis more solito mater nostra et dixit nobis aperte quod mitteremus pro uobis, quia uos illuminaretis nos, et quod uiuebatis, et tunc repente precepimus quod armaretur lignum, et mandauimus ·G·, clerico camere nostre, quod ipse iret in ligno ad quecumque loca posset de uobis certos rumores audire, et expressimus, dicentes quod non credebamus illos rumores esse ueros et omnino uolebamus certificari de uita uel morte uestra et tradidimus ei literam nostram et mandauimus ei quod, si uiueretis, presentaret uobis et quod certa testimonia de uita uel obitu nobis afferret."
- 53 In this introduction, Arnau explains, "quia cum peruenissem Cathaniam, Rex praedictus exorsus est mihi pandere causam, propter quam me vocauerat sub talibus verbis," and he then tells the story of King Frederick's dream in the first person; see Flacius, *Catalogus*, Appendix, 1. Batllori, "Notícia preliminar," 70, says, "és molt fonamentat d'identificar la *Interpretatio de visionibus* amb la *Lectio Cataniae* de l'inventari publicat per Chabàs, núm. 137." The *exordium* published by Flacius Illyricus supports Batllori's hypothesis, but it is not confirmed by other sources. See Roc Chabàs, "Inventario de los libros, ropas y demás efectos de Arnaldo de Villanueva," *Revista de archivos, bibliotecas y museos* 9 (1903): 194, no. 137: "lectio Cathanie in papiro cum cupertura pergameni"; and two lines later, "denuntiatio Avinione in papiro."
 - ⁵⁴ Interpretatio, fol. 14r: "scribatis ergo in vulgari vestro totum processum quem

That is what Frederick does. After the letter was translated into Latin, Arnau takes it to James II before the king sets out for the kingdom of Granada.⁵⁵ This letter is not dated, but James II's response is dated 11 June 1309 in Barcelona. 56 James II immediately leaves for Granada. This is the terminus ad quem.

3) Frederick's dream—the king explains at the beginning of his text had been recurring frequently for the past seven years.⁵⁷ Therefore, Arnau must have interpreted Frederick's dream before June 1309. Frederick sent for his physician and counsellor in the summer of 1308, but he must not have reached the island until somewhat later. This date (spring of 1309) dovetails with the information that Frederick's dreams had been recurring for seven years. Indeed, if Frederick began to have dreams after the death

cogitastis circa cultum euangelii obseruare et substantiam illius insinuationis quam uultis facere regi Aragonum fratri uestro".

- 55 Ibid. This text translated into Latin is the letter published by Flacius, Catalogus, Appendix, 11-13; and Menéndez y Pelayo, Historia 7:254-57 (Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 130, no. 155). In it we can read the two petitions to James II that Arnau reports: cf. "Idcirco deliberabimus, quod ex nunc totum vitae nostre residuum expendamus in eius obsequium, procurando quod ab hominibus laudetur, ametur et honoretur. Cum autem nullus ametur et honoretur per ea quae fiunt ei contraria, et ipse (sicut didicimus a sancta matre Ecclesia) sit veritas et iustitia et pax et misericordia, proponimus ad cultum istarum virtutum diligenter intendere, ac earum contraria, prout poterimus, evitare" (255), and, e.g., Interpretatio, fol. 14r, "proponimus uiuere in statu nostro secundum regulam euangelii et exponere nos morti pro gloria Saluatoris, et cunctos uolentes in observancia ueritatis euangelice uiuere, diligenter fouere ac sustentare." Cf. also "Quapropter requerimus et rogamus vestram fraternitatem et ex parte Domini nostri Iesu Christi fraterna dilectione monemus, quatenus animo et viribus universis velitis huiusmodi unionis esse non solum pars altera, sed etiam pater et artifex: taliter, ut quaecunque vestrae prudentiae videbuntur dictae intentioni accomoda, vel forte magis expedientia quam quae per nos fuerunt excogitata, nobis tam literis quam nunciis intimetis" (257), and Interpretatio, fol. 2r: "Desiderium erat et adhuc est quod frater noster rex Aragonum esset principalis in proposito cogitatus predicti, ita quod, sicut est maior in carne, sic desideramus quod esset maior in graciis celestibus et quod assumeret feruenter illud propositum et quod simul prosequeremur ipsum." The phrase "mittimus ad vos personam nobis unanimen in predictis" (257) confirms that Arnau was the one carrying it.
- ⁵⁶ Flacius, Catalogus, Appendix, 13-14; and Menéndez y Pelayo, Historia 7:257-60 (Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 131-32, no. 159).
- ⁵⁷ Interpretatio, fol. 2r: "Ab adolescencia nostra quidam cogitatus frequenter pulsauit cor nostrum, sed a ·VII· annis citra quasi assidue feruebat in nobis. In quibus ·VII· annis frequenter apparuit nobis in sompnis regina."

of his mother Constance (8 April 1302) the seven-year period would end in the spring of 1309. Josep M. Pou i Martí, Martí de Barcelona, and after them other historians who followed their proposal, situated dream's interpretation in the month of December 1308.⁵⁸ It is merely conjecture and is certainly possible, but this date has no documentary grounding.

So is the written text also from this time? We do not know. Arnau did not take the *Interpretatio* to James II along with the aforementioned letter from Frederick.⁵⁹ Is this an indication that it had not yet been written? Again, we do not have the answer. We do know, however, that when Frederick received the copies of both treatises (*Interpretatio* and *Raonament d'Avinyó*) that his brother James II had sent him, he says that he already had them.⁶⁰ When Frederick received the copies we do not know.

While King Frederick corroborated that the dream attributed to him in the *Interpretatio* and recounted in the first person corresponds to the conversation that he actually had with Arnau ("en tot ço que conta e dix de la nostra par, es ver que axi com o recita axins ne eren raonatç ab ell"),⁶¹ James II categorically denies that he had the dream that Arnau attributes to him and that, consequently, he had ever spoken with Arnau about it ("nec etiam verum fuit, quod nos sompnium predictum fecerimus nec cum dicto magistro consequenter loquti fuerimus in aliquo super eo").⁶²

These are Arnau's words about King James II's dream and its interpretation:⁶³

Vacante sede post Benedictum, uocatus per ipsum, adiui presenciam eius et narrauit mihi cogitatum et dubitationes recte per omnia similes uestris, et insuper addidit quod sepe uiderat in sompnio patrem suum et uestrum in habitu mirabili, tradentem ei iiii^{or} auri petias inmensi et eiusdem ponderis, et cum consideraret in uisione quid inde faceret, dicebatur ei:

⁵⁸ See Pou i Martí, *Visionarios*, 67; Martí de Barcelona, "Regesta de documents arnaldians coneguts," *Estudis franciscans* 47 (1935): 284, no. 106; Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 128, no. 149.

⁵⁹ Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 130, no. 155. James II knew the contents of the *Interpretatio* first from the report of Romeo Ortiç and then from the copy sent by Clement V. See nn. 20–22 and 31 above.

⁶⁰ Menéndez y Pelayo, *Historia* 7:312. King Frederick writes to James II, "los quals translatz [*Interpretatio* and *Raonament d'Avinyó*] ia aviem reebutç."

⁶¹ Ibid.

⁶² Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:894.

⁶³ Interpretatio, fol. 6r.

"trade monetario et ille faciet inde monetam utilem et necessariam tibi"; et fuit ei dictum quod ego eram monetarius.

Ego autem respondi quod, in eius presentia et narratione predictorum, datus fuerat intellectus mihi:

"Sic, scilicet quod Deus quando uult beneficia sue pietatis aliquibus indicare, se ipsum ostendit eis sub figura illius rei, que notior est eis et magis conuenit ordini uel circunstanciis naturalibus rerum. Nam eterna sapientia non confundit, nec deserit rerum proprietates, sed perficit. Vnde, quia Deus est pater omnium electorum et gratia ipsius est mater, propterea uobis, qui inter filios regis ·P· estis maior, non solum dignitate, sed etiam etate, ostendit se sub forma patris, quia naturaliter cura maioris fratris conuenit patri. Quia uero cura minoris filii conuenit matri, propterea, si fratri uestro, qui minor est, deberet se ostendere, Deus faceret hoc sub forma matris. Quatuor autem pecie auri inmensi et eiusdem ponderis significant divinam sapientiam, que in IIII^{or} Euangeliis continetur."

After the death of Benedict XI, the Holy See was vacant from 8 July 1304 until 5 June 1305. On 6 April 1305 James II wrote a letter to Arnau asking that he appear in the royal court: his chamber physician, the nephew of Arnau, Ermengol Blasi, did not dare to medicate him without the presence of his uncle.64

THE EDITION OF MATTHIAS FLACIUS ILLYRICUS (1562): ADDITIONS, OMISSIONS, AND MODIFICATIONS TO THE TEXT

The *Interpretatio* was the first spiritual work of Arnau de Vilanova published, and it is no coincidence that it was published in the Protestant world by a Lutheran publisher who precisely presents it as a forerunner of the ideas of the reformed theology. Indeed, in the Catholic world, the inquisitorial sentence issued in Tarragona in 1316 condemning Arnau's writing bans ownership and dissemination of his works. 65 The publication of the Interpretatio in the Protestant world as a forerunner of Lutheran

⁶⁴ Finke, Acta Aragonensia 2:872–73, no. 550. See Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 114– 16, no. 118.

⁶⁵ On the inquisitorial sentence of Tarragona from 1316, see Jaume Mensa i Valls, "Confrontació de les tesis condemnades en la Sentència de Tarragona de 1316 amb les obres espirituals d'Arnau de Vilanova," Arxiu de textos catalans antics 30 (2011-13): 305-34. For the text of the sentence, see Santi, Arnau de Vilanova, 283-89.

ideas surely explains some of the variations we find in Flacius's edition compared to the text of the manuscript conserved in the Archive of the Crown of Aragon. We should, however, recall that the text on which Flacius's edition is based is not this manuscript but a codex that is unidentified or lost. Therefore, we cannot ascertain Flacius's degree of fidelity to the manuscript he used as the original. Below we shall note several additions, the omissions, and a couple of modifications in the text we have found in Flacius's edition compared to the text in Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1.66

Unlike Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1 (which originally had no title), Flacius's edition (Appendix, 1) has the title [fol. 1r] *Incipit Collocvtio Friderici Regis Siciliae*, et nostra Arnaldi de Villanova, lecta et communicata sedi Apostolicae.

Addition:

1) Appendix, 1: "Exordium. Qvia tota series meae narrationis essentialiter pertinet ad Euangelicam veritatem, idcirco ad perpetuam rei memoriam, et ut in posterum obtundi possint aculei quorumcunque praeuaricantium, et dissipantium ea quae audiuntur, volo, prout decet et expedit in tali nostro scripto, de omnibus clarificare sedis Apostolicae maiestatem. Et dico: quia cum peruenissem Cathauiam, Rex praedictus exorsus est mihi pandere causam, propter quam me uocauerat sub talibus verbis."

Omissions:

- 1) Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1, fol. 2r (*ter*): "VII" [referring to the number of years Frederick had been having the dreams].
- 2) Fol. 3r: "(Pro actibus penitentie uaccant splendidis epulis),⁶⁷ pomposis uecturis, curiosis indumentis et mollibus stramentis."
- ⁶⁶ In the critical edition which we are preparing, we include all the textual variants. In this article, however, we offer only a list of the most important ones, that is, the variants that go beyond mere style, language correction, reading errors, clarifications, etc., and instead have to do with the doctrinal content or contextualization of the work.
- ⁶⁷ When the omission is part of a longer sentence or paragraph, without which its meaning would be impossible to understand, we shall provide the part not omitted in parentheses. For example, "Pro actibus penitentie uaccant splendidis epulis" is written in parentheses here, which means that it is in Matthias Flacius's edition.

- 3) Fol. 6r: "Nam eterna sapientia non confundit, nec deserit rerum proprietates, sed perficit."
 - 4) Fol. 7v: "et 'peruertent omne rectum'" (Mic 3:9).
- 5) Ibid.: "(Ergo, si uultis gratus esse Deo et operari ad meritum salutis eterne, primo et principaliter intendatis ubique ad negotia pauperum et in consilio uestro uos sitis aduocatus ipsorum et ne fraudari possint, saltem instituirem unum timentem Deum, qui cotidie promtus querelas pauperum ad uos et ad consilium uestrum introducere teneatur et illas prius et celerius expedire,) propter quam causam specialiter Sancti Patres instituerunt refferendarios in Apostolica Sede, ne "gemitus pauperum" (cf. Ps 9:6) extra portam diucius expectaret, sicut legitur in Gestis Magni Gregorii et suorum imitatorum."
- 6) Ibid.: "(Primum testimonium est Eloquii divini dicentis per Iacobum: 'Fratres, nolite in personarum acceptione habere' [Jac 2:1], etc.), ubi expresse dicitur quod qui plus intendit diuitibus quam pauperibus transgressor est divine legis et in uia dampnationis. Nec fides, ut dicit, potest eum saluare, quia similis est fidei demonum, qui bene 'credunt et' insuper 'contremiscunt,' que Dei sunt, sed peruertunt et transgrediuntur ea (cf. Jac 2:19)."
- 7) Fol. 8r: "(sine qua [caritate]), nec psalmi nec misse nec ieiunia nec etiam elemosine (possunt aliquem salvare, dicente Deo per Apostolum . . .)."
- 8) Ibid.: "'(Nam beatus Ludouicus, rex Francorum clarissimus, quamdiu uixit, seruauit istud, et auus uester et pater hoc ubique obseruauerunt. Et ideo felicitauit eos Deus) dupliciter, quia dedit eis triumfum quotienscumque potentes insurrexerunt contra eos, et ab omnibus timebantur, et in fine dierum eduxit eos de mundo cum plenitudine luminis spiritualis.' Et ex tunc rex frater uester seruauit istud et Deus in multis statum eius felicitauit."
- 9) Ibid.: "Ad desiderium autem uestrum dico, sicut audiuistis, quod indubitanter est a Deo et adimplebitur. Et ego super hoc uolo esse nuncius ad fratrem uestrum, et hoc desiderium aperit mihi enigmata Scripturarum et certificat de curriculis temporum usque ad finem mundi."
- 10) Fols. 10v–11r: "sine scientia uel comissione Sedis Apostolice, ad quam solum uel ex speciali comissione ipsius spectat de scripturis euangelicis iudicare, et iudicio condempnare uel approbare."
- 11) Fol. 13v: "(aliqui dicebant eum esse fantasticum, alii seductorem, alii phitonistam, alii uero ypocritam, nonnulli hereticum), quidam uero heresiarcam."
- 12) Ibid.: "et quia uite mee durationem ignoro, propterea pando uobis quod inde scio, et uos scribatis, ut sit uobis memoriale, si contingat me prius obire, quam illa eueniant."
 - 13) Ibid.: "sed hec notate, que dicam."

Modifications:

1)

Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1, fol. Flacius, Catalogus, Appendix, 3

Nec inueniebamus in corde quenquam, cui tute possemus pandere conceptus nostros. Et in uisione, quam diximus uobis, uidebatur nobis quod audiremus uocem, dicentem nobis quod uobis communicaremus, et quia uos eratis absens et longe a nobis, nec facile nobis erat uestram habere presenciam, reputabamus illusionem. Et maxime quia aliquando temptauimus audire a quibusdam doctoribus statuum regularium, quos communis opinio iudicat uel estimat esse profundos in sapientia et intellectu ocultorum, quid uideretur eis de illa uisione matris, quam diximus uobis. Et finaliter [fol. 4v] cognouimus quod ipsi habebant pro illusione, licet aliquis eorum dixisset nobis quod poterat esse indicium celeste, significans nobis quod domina mater nostra erat in purgatorio et petebat suffragium. Et nos fecimus in suffragium eius tam missas celebrari quam elemosinas fieri.

Contingit autem quod illa estate, quam misimus pro uobis, eramus in Monte Albano, et uenit rumor ad nos de Messana quod uos decesseratis, et contristauit nos rumor ille, et dum nos cogitaremus fuisse illusionem quicquid uideramus, apparuit nobis more solito mater nostra et dixit nobis aperte quod mitteremus pro uobis, quia uos illuminaretis nos, et quod uiuebatis, et tunc repente precepimus

Et aliquando tentauimus audire, et a quibusdam doctoribus, statum Regularem, quos communis opinio iudicat uel aestimat esse profundos in sapientia et intellectu occultorum, quid uideretur eis de illa uisione matris. Et finaliter cognouimus, quod ipsi habebant pro illusione, licet aliquis eorum dixisset nobis, quod poterat esse indicium coeleste, significans nobis quod Domina mater nostra erat in purgatorio, et petebat suffragium. Et nos fecimus in suffragrium [sic] eius tam missas celebrari, quam eleemosynas fieri.

Contingit autem, cum nos ista aetate

cogitaremus circa illam uisionem, quod apparuit nobis more solito Domina mater nostra in somnio, uelata facie, et uidimus splendorem ultus eius mirabilem.

quod armaretur lignum, et mandauimus ·G·, clerico camere nostre, quod ipse iret in ligno ad quecumque loca posset de uobis certos rumores audire, et expressimus, dicentes quod non credebamus illos rumores esse ueros et omnino uolebamus certificari de uita uel morte uestra et tradidimus ei literam nostram et mandauimus ei quod, si uiueretis, presentaret uobis et quod certa testimonia de uita uel obitu nobis afferret.

Nunc autem, postquam fuistis in insula et ·G· uenit ad nos de Messana, notificans quod uos aderatis, apparuit nobis in sompnio mater nostra reuelata facie et uidimus splendorem uultus eius mirabilem et tenebat dyadema gemmatum ineffabilis pulcritudinis et splendoris in manu dextera et dicebat nobis: "Hoc diadema portabis in capite"

Et tenebat diadema gemmatum ineffabilis pulchritudinis et splendoris in manu dextra, et dicebat mihi: "Hoc diadema portabis in capite"

2)

Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1, Flacius, Catalogus, Appendix, 11 fol. 14r

Nunc autem, si placet, certificate me de uestro proposito, quia ego non possem tolerare quod hic uel alibi essem uagus ullo modo.

Nunc autem, si placet, certificate me de proposito uestro, pro expeditione mea.

Some of these interventions serve to explain the context within which the Intepretatio was produced (addition 1), or to eliminate biographical and historical details (modifications 1 and 2), or to simplify them (omissions 1, 8, 9 and 12)—which could make it more difficult to understand, especially for a reader who knew little to nothing about Arnau and his cultural, religious, and political context—or simply to make it easier to read (omission 13). Others are clearly doctrinal in nature. The passage in omission 6 argues that if the wealthy are preferred over the poor, not even

faith can save us. The passage in omission 7 examines the scientific value of charity, masses, fasting and alms. The passage in omission 3 can be interpreted as the relationship between nature and grace. While they do not run directly counter to the positions upheld by the reformed theology, it may seem that they do. The excerpts in omissions 5 and 10 justify (with biblical texts) certain functions or authorities of the Holy See. The purpose of these omissions, which may or may not have been made by Flacius himself, are to make Arnau's *Interpretatio* fit fully within the goal for which the *Catalogus* had been written, namely "to find some witnesses from the history of Church, who would vouch for the truth and truthfulness of Luther's and his own faith and its understanding, as opposed to those of the Roman pope and his primate." 68

CONCLUSION

If the analyses in the previous sections are correct, the course of events is the following. Before June 1309, Arnau de Vilanova orally interpreted a dream of King Frederick and related it to another dream that James II had had a few years earlier. Later, sometime before the consistory at Avignon, he wrote it up (producing the *Interpretatio*) and read it at this consistory (late August or early September 1309) before the pope and the cardinals. Warned by the Franciscan cardinals that his counsellor had defamed him, James II sought and secured an authentic copy of the Latin text of Avignon through diplomatic arrangements with Clement V and ordered Arnau to Almeria to explain in writing and in Catalan what he had said in Avignon. After reading the *Interpretatio* and the *Raonament d'Avinyó* and comparing them, James II broke off relations with Arnau and sent a copy of both treatises to Frederick of Sicily so he could read them and do the same, if he wished. The text of the Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1 was

68 Ivan Kordić, "Croatian Philosophers IV: Matija Vlačić Ilirik – Matthias Flacius Illyricus (1520–1575)," *Prolegomena* 4/2 (2005): 229 and 232–33. See also Christina Beatrice Melanie Frank, "Untersuchungen zum *Catalogus testium veritatis* des Matthias Flacius Illyricus" (Ph.D. diss., Univ. Tübingen, 1990); Thomas Haye, "Der *Catalogus testium veritatis* des Matthias Flacius Illyricus—eine Einführung in die Literatur des Mittelalters?," *Archiv für Reformationsgeschichte* 83 (1992): 31–48; and Arno Mentzel-Reuters and Martina Hartmann, eds., *Catalogus und Centurien: interdisziplinäre Studien zu Matthias Flacius und den Magdeburger Centurien* (Tübingen, 2008).

used as the original for these copies. Frederick of Sicily, however, stated that he saw no contradiction or anything untoward. The table below shows a chronological reconstruction of the most important events:

Date	Event
After 8 July 1304, probably in the spring of 1305	Arnau de Vilanova claims that he was summoned by James II, the king told him about a dream and Arnau interpreted it.
Before June 1309	Frederick had Arnau brought to him so he could interpret a dream he had been having for seven years. Arnau interpreted it in Sicily (Catania?): James II and Frederick of Sicily, with the full support of the Holy See, were to spearhead a plan for reform Christianity.
Before June 1309	As a result of Arnau's interpretation of the dream, Frederick writes a letter to James II telling him about his intentions to carry out a reform plan (though he does not send James II the text of the <i>Interpretatio</i>). Frederick asks his brother to join him, not as yet another party but as "pater et artifex."
11 June 1309	James II responds positively to Frederick of Sicily and immediately heads for Granada.
Probably between the late spring of 1309 and August 1309	Arnau writes up the dream that Frederick of Sicily had told him and his interpretation.
August or more likely September 1309	Arnau reads the <i>Interpretatio</i> before the pope and cardinals in a private consistory at Avignon.
26 October 1309	The cardinal of Porto, Minio da Morrovalle, writes a letter to James II to inform him that Arnau had made claims before the pope that discredit him. He explained them to Romeu Ortiç so that he could

personally discuss them with the king.

27 October 1309

Romeu Ortiç writes a letter to King James II telling

him the content of the *Interpretatio*. He underscores that Arnau "vos, domine, et fratrem vestrum de dubio fidei coram Papa et Cardenalibus diffamavit."

Mid-January 1310	Summoned by James II, Arnau appears in Almeria to explain himself. There he reads the <i>Raonament d'Avinyó</i> . Since the king believes that Arnau's explanation does not match the information he was given by Romeu Ortiç, James II writes a letter to the pope (carried by Arnau himself) asking him for an authentic copy of the <i>Interpretatio</i> .
8 June 1310	Clement V signs the letter presenting the <i>Inter-</i> pretatio and sends the letter and work ("interclusa") to James II via Arnau himself.
17 June 1310	From Marseilles, Arnau writes a letter to James II telling him that he is sending him the letter from Clement V and the <i>Interpretatio</i> via Ramon Conesa.
27 July 1310	Ramon Conesa writes to James II to tell him that he is sending him the letter from the pope and the <i>Interpretatio</i> via his manservant Bernat Vidal.
6 August 1310	James II confirms receipt of the <i>Interpretatio</i> (to Ramon Conesa).
24 September 1310	Having seen that Arnau had committed three lies, James II writes a letter to Frederick of Sicily asking him to break off relations with Arnau, as he had.
1 October 1310	James II writes practically identical letters to Clement V and cardinals Frédol and Morrovalle explaining the deeds, apologizing, and asking that his letter to be read in the consistory.
4 October 1310	James II sends Frederick of Sicily the <i>Interpretatio</i> and the <i>Raonament d'Avinyó</i> . The originals of these two copies are, respectively, the first and third "books" now preserved in the codex Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1.
24 October 1310	Clement V writes to James II and downplays the importance of the affair.
9 January 1311	From Messina, Arnau writes to James II: he recommends a medication, expresses his loyalty, and reminds him that no one can forbid Arnau from esteeming him.
10 January 1311	On this date and shortly before or after, Frederick writes two letters to James II, one to confirm receipt

of the treatises and the other claiming that he sees no contradiction nor anything untoward or defamatory in the treatises. He sends him the Interpretatio spiritual.

It is also clear that 1) the *Interpretatio* is the text that was read by Arnau de Vilanova in a private consistory at the papal court in Avignon (in August or September 1309); 2) Frederick's letter alluded to at the end of the Interpretatio and sent to James II (published by Flacius Illyricus, and by Menéndez y Pelayo along with the response from James II and the Interpretatio)⁶⁹ did not have this work attached to it; 3) an authenticated copy of the text of the Interpretatio was sent by Clement V to James II (via Arnau de Vilanova, Ramon Conesa and Bernat Vidal) between 8 June and 6 August 1310, and on 4 October 1310 James II sent a copy (along with the Raonament d'Avinyó) to Frederick of Sicily; 4) the manuscript of the Interpretatio, Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1, fols. 2r-14v is almost certainly the exemplar that James II sent to Frederick and most likely the same copy that Clement V sent to James II; and 5) the manuscript of the Informació espiritual, Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1, fols. 15r-26v was sent by Frederick to James II in an undated letter in response to another letter from James II dated 4 October 1310.

In contrast, the text of the *Interpretatio* published by Matthias Flacius in 1562 (and in several subsequent editions) does not match the manuscript Barcelona, ACA, Casa Reial 1: it is missing passages on doctrine that do not fit with the objectives of the Catalogus testium veritatis, along with biographical and historical information that are not important to a modern or Lutheran reader. In contrast, it does contain elements identifying and contextualizing the work (which must have been in the manuscript) that are not in the copy housed in the Archive of the Crown of Aragon.

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and Institut d'Estudis Catalans.

⁶⁹ See Flacius, Catalogus, Appendix, 11–13 and 13–15; and Menéndez y Pelayo, Historia 7:254-57 and 257-60 (Alanyà, "Diplomatari," 130, no. 155; and 131-32, no. 159).